<u>Agenda</u>

Curriculum Committee Meeting October 11, 2012 4:30-6:00 PM G403 Medical Center

- 1) Approval of Minutes of Last Two Meetings Appendix A (Vote)
- 2) Action Plan and Timeline Appendix B (Bobby Miller)
- 3) Retreat Agenda, October 17th G403, 1:30pm to 5:00 pm (Bobby Miller)
- 3) Approval of Competency Committee Proposal as a framework for Integration Subcommittee use Appendix C (Bobby Miller)
- 4) Approval of MSII Block Leaders Appendix D (Susan Jackman)
- 5) Approve eight integration subcommittees, Leaders and Members Appendix E (Bobby Miller)
- 6) Approve 4th year electives, Peds Research and Peds/Adolescent Gyn (Nancy Webb)
- 7) Finalize plans to complete syllabi drafts for Review at CC meeting November 8, 2012 (Nancy Webb)
- 8) New Business
- 9) Adjournment
- **10) Next regular meeting –**4:30-6:00 PM, November 8th, 2012
- Appendix A Curriculum Committee Meeting Minutes September 13th and 27th, 2012
- Appendix B Action Plan and Time line
- Appendix C Competency Committee Proposal
- **Appendix D MSII Block Leaders**
- Appendix E Integration subcommittees, Leaders and Members

Appendix A – Curriculum Committee Meeting Minutes –September 13th and 27th, 2012

Curriculum Committee Meeting September 13, 2012

Present:Piyali Dasgupta, Brian Dzwonek (Secretary), Richard Egleton, Elizabeth Evans, Paul Ferguson, Tigran Garabekyan, Charles Giangarra, Carl Gruetter (via Facetime), Elaine Hardman, Rebecca Hayes, MSIII, Jonathan Hess,Susan Jackman, Hisham Keblawi, Sean Loudin, Will McCumbee, FaridMozaffari , Bobby Miller (Acting Chair), James Rollins, Dilip Nair, Laura Richardson, Jonathon Seibert, Amy Smith, Justin Tolbert, Nancy Webb, Sasha Zill

Absent: Wesam Bolkhir, Chuck Clements

Guest: Dr. Darshana Shah

Торіс	Discussion	Plan/Action
Approval of Minutes of Last Meeting - Appendix A (Vote)		Approved
Update on ED 5a, ED 33 and ED 21 Citations -Brian Dzwonek	Dr. Dzwonek provided a PowerPoint overview of LCME ED-5-A, ED-33, ED-21. The presentationwas an overview of the update delivered at the September 13, 2012 LCME Faculty Meeting.	No further action required
Vote on Competency Committee Proposal – Appendix B -Brian Dzwonek, Bobby Miller	Dr. Miller reported the Competency Committee met on Tuesday September 11, 2012. The Committee endorsed the UNC Competency framework. The Committee was divided into smaller working groups to review the Competences in detail and will reconvene prior to the September 27, 2012 Curriculum Meeting to finalize the report to the Curriculum Committee.	Report to be presented at September 27, 2012 Curriculum Committee.
Approval of MSI and MSII Block Leaders – Appendix C - Will McCumbee, Susan Jackman	Dr. McCumbee reported the MSI Subcommittee met September Block leaders for all five blocks were selected and three blocks have clinical Co-directors. Dr. McCumbee distributed a list of the names of the MSI Block Leaders. Dr. Jackman continues her efforts to recruit Block Leaders for MSII Blocks.	Block Leaders from MSI were presented to the Curriculum Committee and Approved.
Set date and venue for October Curriculum Retreat -Brian Dzwonek, Bobby Miller	Dr. Miller proposed Wednesday October 17 th as the date for the Curriculum Retreat. Location to be determined. Dr. Jackman asked for the agenda for the meeting. The agenda for the retreat has not been developed. The topic of the retreat is based on theLCME Action Plan for ED-5-A "Complete a thorough evaluation of the curriculum and all required course/systems." Dr. Dzwonek reports the agenda will be the specific elements of the Action Plan. "A template will be completed by the representative responsible defining the objectives, content overview, contact hours, teaching activities (didactic, small group, PBL, etc.) and assessment tools. These data will be presented at a scheduled full day mandatory curriculum retreat to all members."	October 17, 1-5pm. Venue TBD.
	Dr. Jackman asked if the timing would allow the Subcommittees time to have the new curriculum in place. Dr. Richardson asked if the timetable would allow the Subcommittees sufficient time to	

Cur Special Curriculum Committee meeting for review and approval of Competencies. -Dr. McCumbee New Business Discussion of Competencies Discussion of Competencies Egle and bein eac Obj obj The sho sug mee cur nee Cor all f	rriculum Committee to review and vote on mpetencies to clarify how they will be used in the rriculum. . Zill asked how the Competencies would be regrated into he courses. Dr. Zill requested that e Competencies be sent out to all Faculty for view. Dr. Egleton suggested the Competencies are vay of linking the Institutional Objectives to the rriculum and creating a set of objectives that can used to meet the Institutional Objectives. Dr. letonwent on to say that we are using the Step 1 d Step 2 outline to assure all of this material is ing covered in the Curriculum. He suggested that ch Block will use the Competencies and set Block ojectives to meet the Competencies. Each lecture jective should link to the Competencies as well. ese set the expectation of what the student ould be able to demonstrate.Dr. Egleton ggested the "Patient Logger" is another echanism to create vertical integration of the rriculum. The Block Leaders, Clinical Liaisons will ed to come together and review the mpetencies as they relate to the curriculum for four years.	4:00pm
Cur Special Curriculum Committee Cor meeting for review and approval of Cur Competencies.	mpetencies to clarify how they will be used in the	4:00pm
	. McCumbee requested a special meeting of the	Meeting set for September 27, 2012
sug it ca 201 the Discussion and Vote on CC Approval of Fourth Year Electives req -Nancy Webb JCE sch Cur acc Ms. pre	mpete the tasks for AY 2013-2014. Dr. Jackman ggested that if the retreat is for the AY 2011-2012 can be accomplished, if it is for the upcoming AY 13-2014 it would be difficult to accomplish given e timeframe. S. Webb request that fourth year Electives (not quired or selective courses) be presented to and proved by the Curriculum Committee. Currently ESOM students participate in Selectives at other nools without MSIV Subcommittee approval. rrently applications to approved Selectives at credited schools are accepted without a review. S. Webb request that new fourth Year Courses be esented to and approved by the Curriculum mmittee bypassing prior approval of the MSIV.	Motion Approved

Diversity Leaning Modules	5	indicated that the presentation and proposal is	
		related to LCME IS-16 (Diversity). Dr. Shaw indicated	
-Dr. Darshana Shaw		that the program is from the US Department of	
		Health Office of Minority health. Officially published	
		in 2001. The program is delivered as an eight case	
		online module for faculty and is intended to be used	
		to address Cultural Competencies. If it were to be	
		used for students it would need to be modified.	
		10,000 people have taken the module. Dr. Egleton	
		suggested this program might fit into a Special Topic	
		and is linked to Competencies. Dr. Richardson	
		clarified that she requested a tool or handout of	
		diversity elements for the creation of cases. Dr.	
		Richardson suggested the material on the modules	
Торіс	Discussion	appears to be high quality but is more complex than	Plan/Action
		what she was asking which was how do you define	
Overview of	Dr. Miller pr	ovlived sity overview of the work of the contriculum	Dr. Egleton requested
Aenoratensyt	Committee.	He indicated that the Curriculum Committee	that a Pharmacology Meeting adjourned at
Committee	reviewed tw	o Competency frameworks, one from the	section also be included in 5:49pm
Committee	University of	North Carolina and one from Indiana University.	the Medical Knowledge

Curriculum Committee Meeting September 27, 2012

Present:Piyali Dasgupta, Brian Dzwonek (Secretary), Richard Egleton, Paul Ferguson, Tigran Garabekyan, Elaine Hardman, Rebecca Hayes, MSIII, Susan Jackman, Hisham Keblawi, Sean Loudin, Will McCumbee, Bobby Miller (Acting Chair), James Rollins, Laura Richardson, Jonathon Seibert, Amy Smith (OME), Nancy Webb, Sasha Zill

Absent:Wesam Bolkhir, Chuck Clements, Elizabeth Evans, Charles Giangarra, Carl Gruetter, Jonathan Hess, FaridMozaffari, James Rollins, Justin Tolbert

Meetings	The Committee decided that the UNC model fit more easily	Competency.
-Bob Miller	into the JCESOM Institutional Objectives and were structurally similar to the Competencies used in the Residency programs and as such were more easilyadaptablefor use at JCESOM.	
	TheUNC Competencies were dividedamonggroups formed within the Competency Subcommittee. Each group was composedof a Basic Scientist, Clinician, Resident and student where possible. The <i>Managing the Health of Populations (HP)</i> Competency (a seventh Competency specific to UNC) was reviewed by all groups. Dr. Miller indicated that the groups met separately and reviewed the Competenciesthey had been assigned. The review focused on whether the Competencies and Milestones were appropriate for JCESOM and if any of the milestones needed to be reordered, eliminated, or if additional milestones need to be added. This list was then compiled and reviewed by the CompetencyCommittee as a whole. Dr. Miller referenced the JCESOM Core <i>Competencies with Milestones</i> as the product of the Competencies Committee meetings.	
	Dr. Egleton requested that a Pharmacology section also be included in the <i>Medical Knowledge Competency</i> .	
UNC SOM Competencies and LCME -Brian Dzwonek	Dr. Dzwonek indicated that he had spoken on the phone with Dr. Kurt Gilliland, Director of Curriculum at the UNC Medical School seeking his permission to use the UNC Competency Framework to guide the work of the Competency Committee. Dr. Dzwonek indicated he had received permission to share the framework with the Competency Committee and that we could use these Competencies for the purposes of developing our own Competency framework.	Plan a CC Subcommittee Meeting with OME and CC Chair.
	Dr. Dzwonek reported that Dr. Gilliland and his team devoted 18 months to develop and update their Competencies following an LCME site visit in 2004. Dr. Gilliland's group used the Competencies to development a new curricular model based on outcomes. Dr. Gilliland indicated that the Competencies need to be integrated into the course planning and need to be reflected in the assessment component of each course.	

Dr. Dzwonek reported that Gillilandsuggested that it is important to record specific examples of how the Competency framework helped to identify gaps and redundancies in the curriculum and how these gaps and redundancies were addressed as a result of this process. Dr. Gillilandsuggested that it is not enough to simply identify gaps and redundancies but to specifically modify and change the curriculum to be more vertically integrated as a result of this process.

Dr. Jackman felt the Competencies are too broad to be used at the session level. Dr. Dzwonek suggested that the *Enabling Competencies* are similar to course objectives and that the *Milestones* are similar to session objectives.

Dr. Egleton indicated that the Competencies could be adjusted by the Block Learners to fit more accurately within the JCESOM curriculum.

Dr. Dzwonek indicated that the Competencies would serve as a framework to guide the activities at the October 17 Curriculum Retreat specifically as one of the tools to use for evaluating the curriculum.

Mr. Seibert asked for the Committee to consider sending the Competency framework to the teaching faculty and Year 1-4 Subcommittees as a vetting process to assure that the milestones and outcomes support the new curriculum.

Dr. Ferguson suggested that product would be used as a framework and that it can and should be modified during the curriculum review process to be more reflective of the JCESOM curriculum.

Dr. McCumbee suggested that the LCME did not ask that we adopt a Competency framework. He went on to remind the committee that we need to have a review of the Curriculum completed prior to the LCME visit and to that end need to have

a course syllabus in place by December.
Dr. Richardson asked for clarification of what is going to
happen at the curriculum retreat and is this is going to define
what is being taught. She indicated that it is the domain of the
Block leaders to determine what is being taught and how and
the domain of the Subcommittees to plan the retreat.
Dr. Dzwonek suggested that the half-day retreat is designed to
meet the Action Plan item related to a thorough review of the
Curriculum. The Step 1 and Step 2 outline serves to identify
gaps and redundancies in the curriculum. The Outcomes will be
defined by the Competencies. The role of the faculty course
directors and block leaders will be to look at these elements as
it relates to curriculum planning.
Dr. McCumbee asked Dr. Dzwonek to consider ED-5A as a list of
outcomes for the Curriculum Retreat.
Dr. Jackman referenced ED-33 suggesting that the MSI-IV
Subcommittee Committees meet to create the template
referenced in the LCME Action Plan.
Dr. Richardson suggested that there is a miscommunication
between OME and the Subcommittees related to identifying
the groups that will participate in the retreat. She suggested
that OME and the Subcommittees meet to discuss the
Curriculum Retreat.
Dr. Richardson asked the intent of the Competency framework
asking if it is designed to be used during the Curriculum retreat.
Dr. Dzwonek confirmed that is the intent.
Dr. Ferguson suggested the curriculum retreat was intended to
help to frame what is currently being taught.
Dr. McCumbee asked if the curriculum review is intended to
Si meennoee uskeu'n the curreatum review is interfueu to

	address the current academic year or the next academic year. He asked the Curriculum Committee what information he needs to bring to the Curriculum Retreat.	
	Dr. Richardson suggested that Dr. Dzwonek meet with Dr. McCumbee and Dr. Jackman to plan the Curriculum Retreat. It was recommended that the MSIII and MSIV Subcommittee Chairs be included in this meeting.	
Vote on JCESOM		No vote taken, quorum
Competency		dissolved prior to vote.
Proposal-Appendix		
А		
-Bob Miller		
New Business		None
Adjournment	Meeting concluded at 4:58pm	

Appendix B – Action Plan and Timeline

See Email Attachment

Appendix C- Vote on Competency Committee Proposal

Previously distributed...See separate attachment in Email

Appendix D- Approval of MSII Block Leaders

Principles of Disease: Susan Jackman

Disease and Therapeutics I (Musculoskeletal, Dermatology, Hematology): Still open but Susan Jackman and Nancy Norton will start the organization of this block

Disease and Therapeutics II (Nervous System, Psychopathology): Tracy LeGrow

Disease and Therapeutics III: (Cardiovascular, Renal, Respiratory): Carl Gruetter

Disease and Therapeutics IV: (GI, Endocrine, Reproductive): Nancy Norton

Appendix E- Approve 8 integration subcommittees, Leaders and Members

- 1) Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, Biology of Cells, Human Development and Generics, Biology of Tissue Response to Disease, Pharmacodynamic and Pharmacokinetic processes
- 2) Musculoskeletal, skin and related connective tissue
- 3) Central and peripheral Nervous System, behavior, psychopathology, infancy and Childhood, Adolescence, Senescence
- 4) Cardiovascular, Respiratory, Ear, Nose and Throat, Renal, Urinary
- 5) Gastrointestinal, Nutritional, Endocrine and Metabolic, Reproductive and Gynecologic, Pregnancy, Childbirth and Puerperium
- 6) Hematopoietic and Lymphoreticular, Neoplasms, Environmental, Injury and Poising
- 7) Microbial biology and infection and antimicrobials, Immune System and Immunologic disorders
- 8) Clinical skills, labs, radiology, professionalism, communication, gender, ethnic, and behavioral considerations affecting disease treatment and prevention, including psychosocial, cultural, occupational, and environmental, quantitate methods including applied biostatistics and clinical epidemiology, medical ethics and jurisprudence, systems based practice and patient safety.